

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,009	04/21/2004	Diane T. Stephenson	PHA 42630.1 (01381/1/US)	6778
321 7590 08/19/2008 SENNIGER POWERS LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			EXAMINER	
			HUI, SAN MING R	
16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/829,009	STEPHENSON ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	San-ming Hui	1617				
All Participants: Status of Application:						
(1) <u>San-ming Hui</u> .	(3)					
(2) Docketing department of the law firm of record.						
Date of Interview: <u>8 May 2008</u>	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet						
Part III.						
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
/San-ming Hui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/829,009

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The exmainer tried to contact the patenting department of Senniger, Powers, Leavitt & Roedel and found out that the case has been transferred. Since there is no name of contact given, the examient tried to call the phone number obtained from Senniger, Powers, Leavitt & Roedel for status inquiry; however, no further information can be gethered with regard to the status of the case.